WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

December 28, 2012

10:		internal File				
THRU	J:	: Steve Christensen, Permit Supervisor 5 C				
FROM	1:	: Amanda Daniels, Environmental Scientist IIA				
RE:		2012 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Resources, Inc., Wildcat Loadout, C/007/0033 WQ12-2, Task ID #4133				
reports Octobe	oring pla s submit	fildcat Loadout processes coal but has no coal extraction is described in the MRP on page 137 and in Table ted by the Permittee indicate that measurable flow is 206 at WCW-1 and 2,900 gpm on August 20, 2007 and 20	es IV-10 and IV s very rare: mos	'-11. Quarterly st recent –		
1.	Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? There is no spring, well, or in-mine monitoring at this site.					
	Stream	ns	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
There was no flow at MRP surface-water monitoring points WCW-1, WCW-2, WCW-3 and WCW-4 during the second quarter of 2012.						
	UPDE	s	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
002, W 2012.	There was no flow or discharge at UPDES monitoring points WCW-A 001, WCW-B VCW-C 003, WCW-D 004, WCW-E 005, and WCW-F 006 during the second quarter of					
2.	Were	all required parameters reported for each site?				
	Stream	ns	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
of 2012		ow" was reported for all MRP stream monitoring poi	nts during the	second quarter		

Page 2 C/007/0033 WQ12-2 Task ID #4133 December 28, 2012

	The state of the s				
	UPDES	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
2012.	"No flow" was reported for all UPDES discharge point	s during the seco	nd quarter of		
3.	Were any irregularities found in the data?				
	Streams	YES 🗌	NO 🖂		
	UPDES	YES 🗌	NO 🖂		
4.	On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data?				
In the year preceding renewal, the MRP calls for one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. The permit was renewed 05/05/09: there were no reported flows or discharges during the year preceding renewal. The next renewal submittal is due 01/05/14 and the next renewal is due 05/05/14. Because of the scarcity of flow events at this loadout, the monitoring plan should be clarified so that any flow during the year preceding permit renewal will be analyzed for baseline parameters. 5. Did the Permittee make a timely submittal of all data, including initially missing data, and satisfactorily explain irregular data? YES NO					
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more informationing requirements?	_			
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.				
	None				
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?				
	None				
O:\0070	033 WCI \Water Quality\WG4133 docx				